Supplementary File 2 [Ek Dosya 2]

Yayın tarihi 30 Mart, 2014 © TurkJBiochem.com [Published online 30 March. 2014]



Amentoflavone protects against hydroxyl radical-induced DNA damage via antioxidant mechanism

[Amentoflavon antioksidan mekanizma ile hidroksil radikali ile indüklenmiş DNA hasarına karşı koruyucu etkiye sahiptir]*

Xican Li^{1,**}, Li Wang^{1, **}, Weijuan Han¹, Wenqiong Mai¹, Lu Han¹, Dongfeng Chen² ¹School of Chinese Herbal Medicine, ²School of Basic Medical Science, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, 510006, China

Supplementary file 2. The detailed dose-response curves of amentoflavone in all assays



Fig. S1. The protective effect against hydroxyl radical-induced DNA damage of amentoflavone and caffeic acid. Each value is expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, n = 3.

Tab. S1 The IC₅₀ values for protective effect against hydroxyl radical-induced DNA damage (μ g/mL & μ M)

IC ₅₀	amentoflavone	caffeic acid
μg/mL	17.13±2.54	6.58±2.11
μΜ	<u>31.85±4.75</u> ª	<u>36.56±11.72</u> ^b

 IC_{50} value is defined as the concentration of 50% protection percentage. It was calculated by linear regression analysis and expressed as Mean±SD (n=3). The linear regression was analyzed by Origin 6.0 professional software. Means values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (p<0.05).



Fig. S2. The dose response curves of hydroxyl radical-induced bases damage of amentoflavone and caffeic acid: (A) cytosine, (B) uracil, (C) adenine, (D) thymine, (E) guanine. Each value is expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, n = 3.

Tab. S2 The IC₅₀ values for protective effect against hydroxyl radical-induced base damage ($\mu g/mL \& \mu M$)

IC ₅₀	Bases	Amentoflavone	Caffeic acid
	Cytosine C	106.93±18.04	45.55±5.94
	Uracil U	79.16±11.27	56.63±8.54
μg/mL	Adenine A	40.43±5.66	41.03±3.66
	Thymine T	50.44±8.80	105.56±7.23
	Guanine G	90.22±7.48	25.33±4.87
	Cytosine C	198.75±33.53ª	<u>253.05±33.00</u> [⊾]
μΜ	Uracil U	147.14±20.95ª	<u>314.61±47.44</u> [⊾]
	Adenine A	75.15±10.52 °	<u>227.94±20.33</u> ^b
	Thymine T	93.75±16.36ª	<u>586.44±40.17</u> ^b
	Guanine G	167.69±13.90 ^b	140.72±27.05 ª

 IC_{50} value is defined as the concentration of 50% protection percentage. It was calculated by linear regression analysis and expressed as Mean±SD (n=3). The linear regression was analyzed by Origin 6.0 professional software. Means values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (p<0.05).



Fig. S3. The protective effect against hydroxyl radical-induced deoxyribose damage of amentoflavone, Trolox and BHA. Each value is expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, n = 3.

Tab.	S3 The IC	values for	protective effect	against hydro	xvl radical-induced	ł deoxyribose damag	e (ug/mL	&υ	ιM

IC ₅₀	amentoflavone	BHA	Trolox
μg/mL	74.22±10.69	72.68±25.83	41.04±8.95
μΜ	<u>137.95±19.86</u> ^a	<u>403.78±143.50</u> °	<u>164.16±35.80</u> ⁵

 IC_{50} value is defined as the concentration of 50% radical inhibition. It was calculated by linear regression analysis and expressed as Mean±SD (n=3). The linear regression was analyzed by Origin 6.0 professional software. Means values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (p<0.05)

BHA: butylated hydroxyanisole

Trolox: (±-6-hydroxyl-2,5,7,8-tetramethlychromane-2-carboxylic acid).



Fig. S4. The $\cdot O_2$ radical-scavenging ability of amentoflavone and caffeic acid. Each value is expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, n = 3.

Tab. S4 The IC₅₀ values for \cdot O₂ radical-scavenging ability (µg/mL & µM)

IC ₅₀	amentoflavone	caffeic acid	
μg/mL	4.96±0.13	12.13±0.24	
μΜ	8.98±0.23ª	<u>67.39±1.33</u> ^b	

 IC_{s_0} value is defined as the concentration of 50% radical inhibition. It was calculated by linear regression analysis and expressed as Mean±SD (n=3). The linear regression was analyzed by Origin 6.0 professional software. Means values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (p<0.05)



Fig. S5. The DPPH radical-scavenging ability of amentoflavone, Trolox and BHA. Each value is expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, n = 3.

Tab. S6 The IC_{_{50}} values for the DPPH radical-scavenging ability (µg/mL & µM)

IC ₅₀	amentoflavone	BHA	Trolox
µg/mL	232.55±45.22	15.36±3.34	49.85±13.56
μΜ	<u>432.25±84.05°</u>	<u>85.33±18.56</u> ª	<u>199.40±54.24</u> ^b

 IC_{50} value is defined as the concentration of 50% radical inhibition. It was calculated by linear regression analysis and expressed as Mean±SD (n=3). The linear regression was analyzed by Origin 6.0 professional software. Means values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (p<0.05)

BHA: butylated hydroxyanisole Trolox: (±-6-hydroxyl-2,5,7,8-tetramethlychromane-2-carboxylic acid).



Fig. S6. The ABTS⁺ radical-scavenging ability of amentoflavone, Trolox and BHA. Each value is expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, n = 3.

Tab. S7 The IC_{_{50}} values for the ABTS^+ radical-scavenging ability (µg/mL & µM)

IC ₅₀	amentoflavone	BHA	Trolox
μg/mL	3.90±0.19	1.09±0.039	1.30±0.020
μΜ	<u>7.25±0.35</u> °	<u>6.05±0.22</u> ^b	<u>5.20±0.080</u> ª

 IC_{s_0} value is defined as the concentration of 50% radical inhibition. It was calculated by linear regression analysis and expressed as Mean±SD (n=3). The linear regression was analyzed by Origin 6.0 professional software. Means values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (p<0.05)

BHA: butylated hydroxyanisole Trolox: (±-6-hydroxyl-2,5,7,8-tetramethlychromane-2-carboxylic acid).